UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,387	04/13/2007	Kazuyoshi Tendou	MIYOSH0006	5987
24203 GRIFFIN & SZ	7590 06/22/200 IPL, PC	9	EXAM	INER
SUITE PH-1			FEELY, MICHAEL J	
ARLINGTON,	TREET, SOUTH VA 22204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/596,387	TENDOU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Feely	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	-	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT titute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. If y be timely filed If som the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	• •	6
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Exame 10) The specification is objected to by the Exame 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subject and and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. accepted or b) □ objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
	Examiner. Note the attached	Since Action of format 10-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light series.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20080515,20070723,20060612.	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application -	

Application/Control Number: 10/596,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Pending Claims

Claims 1-14 are pending.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6 and 7 recite the limitation "the colorant" in the molding material of claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 6 and 7 should be dependent from claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/596,387 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 8, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yano et al. (JP 2001-114986).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 9, and 14, Yano et al. disclose: (1) an epoxy resin molding material for sealing (Abstract; paragraphs 0001 & 0006-0007), comprising an epoxy resin (Abstract; paragraphs 0007 & 0017-0019), an epoxy resin curing agent (Abstract; paragraphs 0007 & 0020-0024), and a pitch (Abstract; paragraphs 0007-0016);

(8) comprising, as the epoxy resin, one or more resins selected from the group consisting of biphenyl type epoxy resins, bisphenol F type epoxy resins, thiodiphenol type epoxy resins, phenol-aralkyl type epoxy resins, and naphthol-aralkyl type epoxy resins (paragraphs 0017-0019);

(9) comprising, as the curing agent, one or more resins selected from the group consisting of phenol-aralkyl resins represented by a general formula (I) shown below and naphthol-aralkyl resins represented by a general formula (II) shown below (see claim for structures) (paragraph 0020); and

(14) an electronic component comprising an element that is sealed with the epoxy resin molding material for sealing according to claim 1 (Abstract; paragraphs 0001 & 0006-0007).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1796

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yano et al. (JP 2001-114986).

<u>Regarding claims 3 and 4</u>, Yano et al. fail to explicitly disclose; (4) wherein a carbon content of the pitch is within a range from 88 to 96% by weight; and (3) wherein an electrical resistivity of the pitch is at least 1×10^5 ohm-cm.

Rather, they disclose a carbon content that embraces the instantly claimed range (see paragraph 0008). In light of this, it has been found that in cases where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside the ranges disclosed in the prior art, a prima facie case of obviousness exists – see MPEP 2144.05. Furthermore, with this carbon content obviously satisfied, the skilled artisan would have expected the same or similar resistivity values. This is because the carbon content influences the electrical properties of carbon-based materials.

Therefore, the teachings of Yano et al. obviously satisfy the instantly claimed carbon content because they disclose a carbon content that embraces the instantly claimed range.

Furthermore, with this carbon content obviously satisfied, the skilled artisan would have expected the same or similar resistivity values.

8. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yano et al. (JP 2001-114986) in view of Uchida et al. (JP 2003/327792).

<u>Regarding claims 5-7</u>, Yano et al. disclose 1-100 weight parts (preferably 2-20 weight parts) of pitch per 100 weight parts of epoxy resin (see paragraph 0016). Yano et al. also contemplate the use of a colorant (see paragraphs 0026 & 0030); however, they fail to disclose:

Art Unit: 1796

(5) further comprising one or more materials selected from the group consisting of phthalocyanine-based dyes, phthalocyanine-based pigments, aniline black, perylene black, black iron oxide, and black titanium oxide as a colorant that contains no pitch; (6) wherein a combined quantity of the colorant that contains no pitch and the pitch is within a range from 2 to 15% by weight relative to the epoxy resin; and (7) wherein a quantity of the pitch relative to the combined quantity of the colorant that contains no pitch and the pitch is at least 30% by weight.

Uchida et al. disclose a similar sealing composition (see Abstract; paragraphs 0001 & 0005-0007). They establish that aniline black is recognized in the art as a suitable colorant for this type or resin system (see Abstract; paragraphs 0001 & 0005-0007). In light of this, it has been found that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supports a prima facie obviousness determination – see MPEP 2144.07.

Furthermore, they establish that the colorant is provided in an amount of 0.2 to 2.0% by weight of the entire resin composition (see paragraphs 0022-0023). This range, in concert with the pitch range of Yano et al., would have obviously satisfied the instantly claimed ranges set forth in claims 6 and 7.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use aniline black, as taught by Uchida et al., as the colorant in the sealing composition of Yano et al. because the teachings of Uchida et al. demonstrate that aniline black, provided in an amount of 0.2% to 2.0% by weight of the entire composition, is recognized in the art as a suitable colorant for this type of resin system. Furthermore, the disclosed amount of aniline black, in concert with the pitch range of Yano et al., would have obviously satisfied the instantly claimed ranges set forth in claims 6 and 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,387

Art Unit: 1796

9. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yano et al. (JP 2001-114986) in view of Maeda (JP 2001-335620).

Page 6

Regarding claims 10-13, Yano et al. contemplate the use of an auxiliary flame retardant (see paragraph 0016); however, they fail to disclose: (10) further comprising a cyclic phosphazene compound; (11) wherein the cyclic phosphazene compound comprises a compound represented by a general formula (XVII) shown below (see claim for structure); (12) wherein at least one of R and R' represents a hydroxyphenyl group, and a number of hydroxyphenyl groups is within a range from 1 to 10; and (13) comprising a cross-linked cyclic phosphazene compound.

Maeda discloses a similar sealing composition (see Abstract; paragraphs 0001 & 0003-0004). They establish that the instantly claimed phosphazene compounds (see Abstract; paragraph 0010) and crosslinked phosphazene compounds (see paragraph 0011) are recognized in the art as suitable flame retardants for this type of resin system. In light of this, it has been found that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supports a prima facie obviousness determination – see MPEP 2144.07.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the instantly claimed phosphazene compounds and crosslinked phosphazene compounds, as taught by Maeda, as the auxiliary flame retardant in the sealing composition of Yano et al. because the teachings of Maeda establish that the instantly claimed phosphazene compounds and crosslinked phosphazene compounds are recognized in the art as suitable flame retardants for this type of resin system.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,387 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

International Search Report

10. The international search report corresponding to the instant invention cites four X-references. All of the X-references have been considered; however, none of them appear to reasonably teach or suggest the *pitch*-containing sealant of the instant invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to reasonably teach or suggest the composition as claimed, wherein (2) the pitch is mesophase microspheres isolated from a mesophase pitch.

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Feely whose telephone number is (571)272-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael J Feely/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

June 19, 2009